Case 2:14-cr-00103-GMN-VCF Document 170 Filed 01/07/15 Page 1 of 4

1	1			
2	5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in	5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time		
3	within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United			
4	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United			
5	States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).			
6	6. This is the first request for a continuance filed herein.			
7	DATED this 5th day of January, 2015.			
8	8 DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney			
9	9			
10	10 \frac{\sigma_s/\ Todd Leventhal}{\tauDD LEVENTHAL, ESQ} \frac{\sigma_s/\ Amber M. Craig}{\tauBER M. CRAIG}			
11	Counsel for Defendant Bravo Assistant United States	Attorney		
12	12			
13	13			
14	14			
15	15			
16	16			
17	17			
18	18			
19	19			
20	20			
21	21			
22	22			
23	23			

Case 2:14-cr-00103-GMN-VCF Document 170 Filed 01/07/15 Page 2 of 4

1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	DISTRICT OF NEVADA -oOo-		
4	,		
5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
6	Plaintiff,	2:14-cr-103-GMN-VCF	
	vs.	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS	
7	ASHARON BRAVO,	OF LAW, AND ORDER	
8	Defendant.		
9	FINDINGS OF FACT		
10	Based upon the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the		
11	Court finds that:		
12	1. The parties need additional time to prepare and file sentencing documents.		
13	2. The Defendant is in custody and does not object to the continuance.		
14	3. For the reasons stated above, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of		
15	the response deadline.		
16	4. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of		
17	justice.		
18	5. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time		
19	6. within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18,		
20	United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(3)(A) and (h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18,		
21	United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).		
22	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
23	The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the		
24			
		3	

Case 2:14-cr-00103-GMN-VCF Document 170 Filed 01/07/15 Page 3 of 4

1 2

public and the Defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

5 exercise of due 6 The con

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161(h)(1)(A), (h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i), and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the sentencing hearing, currently scheduled for January 8, 2014, at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to the **5th day of February, 2015** at the the hour of **9:30 a.m. in Courtroom 7D**, before the Honorable Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge.

Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge United States District Court

DATED this 6th day of January, 2015.

ORDER